arXiv:0907.5082v1 [math.CV] 29 Jul 2009

CONTACT GEOMETRY OF ONE DIMENSIONAL HOLOMORPHIC FOLIATIONS

GIUSEPPE TOMASSINI AND SERGIO VENTURINI

ABSTRACT. Let V be a real hypersurface of class C^k, $k\geq 3$, in a complex manifold M of complex dimension $n+1$, $HT(V)$ the holomorphic tangent bundle to V giving the induced CR structure on V. Let θ be a contact form for $(V,HT(V))$, ξ_0 the Reeb vector field determined by θ and assume that ξ_0 is of class C^k. In this paper we prove the following theorem (cf. Theorem 4.1): if the integral curves of ξ_0 are real analytic then there exist an open neighbourhood $M_0\subset M$ of V and a solution $u\in C^k(M_0)$ of the complex Monge-Ampère equation $(\mathrm{dd}^c u)^{n+1}=0$ on M_0 which is a defining equation for V. Moreover, the Monge-Ampère foliation associated to u induces on V that one associated to the Reeb vector field. The converse is also true. The result is obtained solving a Cauchy problem for infinitesimal symmetries of CR distributions of codimension one which is of independent interest (cf. Theorem 3.1 below).

1. INTRODUCTION

We follow [7], [8] for standard notations in differential geometry and complex manifolds.

Let M be a complex manifold of complex dimension $n+1$ with complex structure J on the tangent bundle $T(M)$.

A function $u\in C^2(M)$ is a solution of the *complex Monge-Ampère equation* if and only if

$$MA(u)=(\mathrm{dd}^c u)^{n+1}=(2i\partial\overline{\partial}u)^{n+1}=0$$

where $\mathrm{d}^c=i(\overline{\partial}-\partial)$; in local holomorphic coordinates $z_1,\dots,z_{n+1}$

$$\det\left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z_\alpha \overline{z}_\beta}\right)=0.$$

If ω is a $1-$differential form, then we denote by ω^c the $1-$differential form which satisfies $\omega^c(X)=-\omega(JX)$, for each vector field X, so that if $f\in C^1(M)$ then $(\mathrm{d}f)^c=\mathrm{d}^c f$.

If $V\subset M$ is a real hypersurface of class C^k, $k>1$, set

$$HT(V)=\{X\in T(V)\mid JX\in T(V)\}.$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32W20, 32V40 Secondary 53D10, 35Fxx.

Key words and phrases. Complex manifolds · complex Monge-Ampère equation · Contact geometry.

Then $HT(V)$ is a J-invariant distribution of real dimension $2n$, called the *holomorphic tangent bundle* to V, The distribution $HT(V)$ gives V a CR structure, that induced by the complex structure of M; V endowed with this CR structure is denoted by $(V, HT(V))$

We recall that the Levi form of V is non degenerate if, and only if, locally there exists a real differential form θ of degree 1 on V such that the restriction to $HT(V)$ of the skew 2-form $\mathrm{d}\theta$ is non degenerate. In this case $(V, HT(V))$ is a contact manifold with a contact form θ, also said a *contact* CR *hypersurface*.

We refer to [4] and [9] for basic facts on contact geometry.

A vector field ξ on the contact manifold $(V, HT(V))$ is an *infinitesimal symmetry* if $[\xi, HT(V)] \subset HT(V)$; an infinitesimal symmetry such that $\xi(p) \in HT_p(V)$ for each point $p \in V$ is a *characteristic vector field* for the distribution HT(V) (see e. g. Section 1.2 of [9]).

If θ is a contact form for the contact manifold $(V, HT(V))$ then there exists a unique vector field ξ_0 on V, the *Reeb vector field*, which satisfies $\theta(\xi_0) = 1$ and $\mathrm{d}\theta(\xi_0, X) = 0$ for each vector field X on V. It is easy to show that ξ_0 is an infinitesimal symmetry of the distribution $HT(V)$.

Let $V \subset M$ be a hypersurface of class C^k. A real function $u \in C^k(M)$ is called an *equation* of $V \subset M$ if $V = \{u = 0\}$ and $\mathrm{d}u \neq 0$ near V. Then the restriction of the form $\mathrm{d}^c u$ to $T(V)$ is a real 1-form which defines the CR structure $HT(V)$. Observe that V is a contact CR hypersurface if, and only if, the $2(n+1)$ form $\mathrm{d}u \wedge \mathrm{d}^c u \wedge (\mathrm{dd}^c u)^n$ does not vanish on (a neighbourhood of) V.

In this paper we are interested in studying the existence of equations $u \in C^k(M)$ of a given hypersurface $V \subset M$ wich are solution of the complex Monge-Ampère equation $(\mathrm{dd}^c u)^{n+1} = 0$ (where $n+1$ is the complex dimension of M). We prove the following theorem (cf. Theorem 4.1): if the integral curves of ξ_0 are real analytic then there exist an open neighbourhood $M_0 \subset M$ of V and a solution $u \in C^k(M_0)$ of the complex Monge-Ampère equation $(\mathrm{dd}^c u)^{n+1} = 0$ on M_0 which is a defining equation for V. Moreover, the Monge-Ampère foliation associated to u induces on V that one associated to the Reeb vector field. The converse is also true.

The result is obtained solving a Cauchy problem for infinitesimal symmetries (cf. Theorem 3.1 below) of CR distributions of codimension one which is of independent interest

As for the contents of the paper, in Section 2 we define the notion of *calibrated foliation* which is nothing but than a pair (ξ, u) where ξ is a vector field on the complex manifold M such that $[\xi, J\xi] = 0$ and u is a function on M which satisfy $\mathrm{d}^c u(\xi) = 0$ and $\mathrm{d}u(\xi) = 1$. If (ξ, u) is calibrated foliation then the vector field ξ induces on M a holomorphic foliation whose leaves are Riemann surfaces. The main result of the section is, roughly speaking, that the set Z of the points of the complex manifold M where the vector field ξ is an infinitesimal simmetry for the distribution $\mathsf{Ker}\,\mathrm{d}u \cap \mathsf{Ker}\,\mathrm{d}^c u$ intersects each leaf S of the holomorphic foliation along an analytic subset of

S; hence either $S \subset Z$ or $S \cap Z$ is a discrete subset of S (cf. Theorem 2.1). Here the basic tools are provided by the theory of the *generalized analytic functions*, developped extensively in [6], dealing with functions wich satisfy a first-order complex linear differential system of equations having the Cauchy-Riemann operator as principal part symbol (cf. Theorem 2.2).

In Section 3 we prove that if $V \subset M$ is a real hypersurface of the complex manifold M and ξ_0 is a vector field on V having real analytic integral curves then there exists locally a unique calibrated foliation (ξ, u) in a neighbourhood of V in M such that u vanishes on V and ξ extends ξ_0. The results of the previous section are used in order to show that the vector field ξ is an infinitesimal simmetry of the distribution $\mathsf{Ker}\, \mathrm{d}u \cap \mathsf{Ker}\, \mathrm{d}^c u$ if, and only, if ξ_0 is an infinitesimal simmetry of the distribution $HT(V)$ (cf. Theorem 3.1).

If (ξ, u) is a calibrated foliation and ξ is an infinitesimal simmetry of the distribution $\mathsf{Ker}\, \mathrm{d}u \cap \mathsf{Ker}\, \mathrm{d}^c u$ then u is a solution of the complex Monge-Ampère equation on M. This elementary observation yields to the main result of Section 4 on the existence, for a Levi non degenerate CR real hypersurface V with assigned contact form θ, of an equation which is solution of the complex Monge-Ampère. The result is that such equation exists if, and only if, the Reeb vector field ξ_0 associated to the contact manifold (V, θ) has real analytic integral curves (cf. Theorem 4.1). Indeed in this case by the results of the previous section there exists a unique calibrated foliation (ξ, u) in a neighbourhood of V such that ξ extends the Reeb vector field ξ_0; then, since the Reeb vector field is an infinitesimal simmetry of the corresponding contact structure, u is a solution of the complex Monge-Ampère equation.

Finally, let us observe that, by a result of Andreotti and Fredricks (cf. [1], Theorem 1.12) any real analytic codimension one CR manifold with integrable real analytic CR distribution embeds in some complex manifold as a CR hypersurface. Thus, our theory applies to these abstract CR manifolds too.

2. CALIBRATED ONE DIMENSIONAL FOLIATIONS

Let M be a complex manifold of dimension $n+1$ with (integrable) complex structure J.

Let ξ be a vector field on M and let u be a funcion on M. We say that the pair (ξ, u) is a *calibrated foliation of dimension one* (or simply a calibrated foliation) of class C^k, $k > 0$, if ξ and u are of class C^k and satisfies the conditions

$$[\xi, J\xi] = 0, \tag{1}$$

$$\mathrm{d}u(\xi) = 0, \quad \mathrm{d}^c u(\xi) = 1. \tag{2}$$

From $\mathrm{d}^c u(\xi) = 1$ it follows that $\mathrm{d}^c u$ never vanishes identically at any point $p \in M$ and hence for each constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ the subset of points $p \in M$ which satisfie $u(p) = c$ is either the empty set or is a real hypersurface of M of class C^k.

Clearly the vector fields ξ and $J\xi$ generate a J-invariant bidimensional distribution on TM whoose maximal (connected) integral submanifolds are Riemann surfaces which fill the manifol M. We call such Riemann surfaces the *leafs* of the calibrated foliation (ξ, u).

Let S be a leaf of the calibrated foliation (ξ, u). An *adapded holomorphic coordinate* on S is a holomorphic map $z : A \to \mathbb{C}$ where $A \subset S$ is open in S and $\operatorname{Im} z = u_{|A}$. It is straighforward to prove that for each $p \in S$ there exists an adapded holomorphic coordinate on S defined in a neighbourhood of p in S. This shows in particular that the restriction of the function u to each leaf S is a harmonic function on S.

If (ξ, u) is a calibrated foliation then $\mathsf{Ker}\,\mathrm{d}u \subset T(M)$ is an integrable distribution having as maximal integrable submanifold the connected components of the hypersurfaces defined by as $u = c$, c real constant. Let us observe that if V is a (maximal) integral submanifold of $\mathsf{Ker}\,\mathrm{d}u$ and if S is a leaf of (ξ, u) then $V \cap S$ is a (maximal) integral curve of the vector field ξ, and each (maximal) integral curve of the vector field ξ is obtained in this way.

Let (ξ, u) be a calibrated foliation. We define the *contact locus* of the calibrated foliation (ξ, u) as the set Z of the points $p \in M$ where the differential form $L_\xi(\mathrm{d}^c u)$ vanishes. Here L_ξ stands for the Lie derivative with respect to the vector field ξ. We also say that (ξ, u) is a *contact calibrated foliation* if $Z = M$. It is easy to show that ξ is a characteristic vector field of the distribution $\mathsf{Ker}\,du$ and that (ξ, u) is a contact calibrated foliation if, and only if the vector field ξ is an infinitesimal symmetry for the distribution $\mathsf{Ker}\,\mathrm{d}u \cap \mathsf{Ker}\,\mathrm{d}^c u$ (cf. e. g. Theorem 1.2.1 of [9]).

The main result of this section is the following:

Theorem 2.1. *Let (ξ, u) be a calibrated foliation of class C^k, $k \geq 2$, on the complex manifold M with contact locus Z.*

If S is a leaf of the calibrated foliation (ξ, u) then $S \cap Z$ is an analytic subset of S, that is $S \cap Z \subset S$ is locally defined by the common zeroes of holomorphic function on S and hence either $S \subset Z$ or $S \cap Z$ is a discrete subset of S.

Before getting involved in the proof let us observe an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.12 of [6]:

Theorem 2.2. *Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an open domain and let $w_i \in C^1(D), i = 1, \ldots, p$ and $A_{ij}, B_{ij} \in C^0(D), i, j = 1, \ldots, p$ be complex functions. Assume that*

$$\frac{\partial w_i}{\partial \overline{z}} = \sum_{j=1}^{p} A_{ij} w_j + B_{ij} \overline{w}_j \quad i = 1, \ldots, p \tag{3}$$

holds on D. Then the common zeroes of the functions w_i is an analytic subset of D and hence either the functions w_i vanishes identically on D or the common zeroes of the functions w_i is a discrete set of D.

Solutions of (3) are called *generalized analytic functions*. We also summarize by the following lemma some elementary fact that will be used in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1. *Let M a complex manifold and let X,Y be vector fields on M If $f \in C^2(M)$ then*

$$\mathrm{dd}^c f(JX,JY) = \mathrm{dd}^c f(X,Y). \tag{4}$$

If θ is a differential form on M of degree one and $\theta(X) = c_1$, $\theta(Y) = c_2$ with c_1 and c_2 constant then

$$\mathrm{d}\theta(X,Y) = (L_X\theta)(Y) = -\theta\big([X,Y]\big). \tag{5}$$

If $f \in C^2(M)$ and $\mathrm{d}f(X) = c_1$, $\mathrm{d}f(Y) = c_2$ with c_1 and c_2 constant then

$$\mathrm{d}f\big([X,Y]\big) = 0. \tag{6}$$

Proof of theorem 2.1. We will prove the theorem showing that for each leaf S the set $S \cap Z$ is locally the set of common zeroes of a set of funcion $w_1,\ldots,w_n$ which satisfy a system of equations of the form (3). Let $n+1$ be the dimension of M. Let S be a leaf. Let $p \in S$. For a neighbourhood U of p in M small enought there exist an adapted holomorphic coordinate $z : S \cap U \to \mathbb{C}$ and C^2 vector fields $X_1,\ldots,X_n$ on U such that $\xi, X_1, JX_1,\ldots,X_n,JX_n$ generate the distribution $\mathsf{Ker}\,\mathrm{d}u$.

Of course $X_1,JX_1,\ldots,X_n,JX_n$ generate the distribution $\mathsf{Ker}\,\mathrm{d}u \cap \mathsf{Ker}\,\mathrm{d}^c u$ and $\xi, J\xi, X_1, JX_1,\ldots,X_n,JX_n$ generate the whole $T(M)$.

Setting $\omega = L_\xi \mathrm{d}^c(u)$ and for $i = 1,\ldots,n$ let define $u_i = \omega(X_i)$, $v_i = \omega^c(X_i)$.

Since $\omega(\xi) = \omega(J\xi) = 0$ it follows that $Z \cap U$ is exactly the common zero set of the functions u_i, v_i, $i = 1,\ldots,n$.

We now first prove that for $i = 1,\ldots,n$,

$$\mathrm{d}^c u\big([X_i,\xi]\big) = u_i, \tag{7}$$

$$\mathrm{d}^c u\big([JX_i,\xi]\big) = v_i, \tag{8}$$

$$\mathrm{d}^c u\big([X_i,J\xi]\big) = -v_i, \tag{9}$$

$$\mathrm{d}^c u\big([JX_i,J\xi]\big) = u_i. \tag{10}$$

Indeed, since $\mathrm{d}^c u(X_i) = \mathrm{d}^c u(JX_i) = 0$,

$$u_i = L_\xi \mathrm{d}^c u(X_i) = \xi\left(\mathrm{d}^c u(X_i)\right) - \mathrm{d}^c u\big([\xi,X_i]\big) = \mathrm{d}^c u\big([X_i,\xi]\big).$$

and

$$v_i = L_\xi \mathrm{d}^c u(JX_i) = \xi\left(\mathrm{d}^c u(JX_i)\right) - \mathrm{d}^c u\big([\xi,JX_i]\big) = \mathrm{d}^c u\big([JX_i,\xi]\big),$$

which proves (7) and (8).

Using (4), (5) and the identity $J^2(X) = -X$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}^c u\big([X_i,J\xi]\big) &= -\mathrm{dd}^c u(X_i,J\xi) = \mathrm{dd}^c u(JX_i,\xi) \\ &= -\mathrm{d}^c u\big([JX_i,\xi]\big) = -v_i. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}^c u\big([JX_i, J\xi]\big) &= -\mathrm{d}\mathrm{d}^c u(JX_i, J\xi) = -\mathrm{d}\mathrm{d}^c u(X_i, \xi) \\ &= \mathrm{d}^c u\big([X_i, \xi]\big) = u_i. \end{aligned}$$

which proves (9) and (10).

Then we prove that for $i = 1, \dots, n$

$$[X_i, \xi] = u_i \xi + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \big(a_{ij} X_j + b_{ij} JX_j\big), \tag{11}$$

$$[JX_i, \xi] = v_i \xi + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \big(c_{ij} X_j + d_{ij} JX_j\big), \tag{12}$$

$$[X_i, J\xi] = -v_i \xi + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \big(e_{ij} X_j + f_{ij} JX_j\big), \tag{13}$$

$$[JX_i, J\xi] = u_i \xi + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \big(g_{ij} X_j + h_{ij} JX_j\big), \tag{14}$$

where $a_{ij}, \dots, h_{ij}$ are C^1 functions on U.

Indeed consider first $[X_i, \xi]$. Since $\mathrm{d}^c u(X_i) = 0, \mathrm{d}^c u(\xi) = 0$ by (6) it follows that $[X_i, \xi] \in \mathrm{Ker}\, \mathrm{d}u$ and hence

$$[X_i, \xi] = \lambda_i \xi + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \big(a_{ij} X_j + b_{ij} JX_j\big) \tag{15}$$

where λ_i, a_{ij} and b_{ij} are some C^1 functions on U.

Since $\mathrm{d}^c u(\xi) = 1$ and $\mathrm{d}^c u(X_i) = \mathrm{d}^c u(JX_i) = 0$ it follows that

$$u_i = \mathrm{d}^c u\big([X_i, \xi]\big) = \lambda_i, \tag{16}$$

and this proves (11).

The proofs of (12), (13) and (14) are similar.

Finally we claim that

$$\mathrm{d}^c u\big([[X_i, \xi], J\xi]\big) = -J\xi(u_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \big(b_{ij} u_j - a_{ij} v_j\big), \tag{17}$$

$$\mathrm{d}^c u\big([[JX_i, \xi], J\xi]\big) = -J\xi(v_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \big(d_{ij} u_j - c_{ij} v_j\big), \tag{18}$$

$$\mathrm{d}^c u\big([[X_i, J\xi], \xi]\big) = \xi(v_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \big(e_{ij} u_j + f_{ij} v_j\big). \tag{19}$$

$$\mathrm{d}^c u\big([[JX_i, J\xi], \xi]\big) = -\xi(u_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \big(g_{ij} u_j + h_{ij} v_j\big). \tag{20}$$

Indeed from (11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}[[X_i,\xi],J\xi] &= [u_i\xi+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\big(a_{ij}X_j+b_{ij}JX_j\big),J\xi]\\ &= -J\xi(u_i)\xi+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\big(a_{ij}[X_j,J\xi]+b_{ij}[JX_j,J\xi]\big)\\ &\quad -\sum_{j=1}^{n}\big(J\xi(a_{ij})X_j+J\xi(b_{ij})JX_j\big).\end{aligned}$$

Applying $\mathrm{d}^c u$, using (9) and (10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}^c u\big([[X_i,\xi],J\xi]\big) &= -J\xi(u_i)\\ &\quad +\sum_{j=1}^{n}\Big(a_{ij}\mathrm{d}^c u\big([X_j,J\xi]\big)+b_{ij}\mathrm{d}^c u\big([JX_j,J\xi]\big)\Big)\\ &= -J\xi(u_i)+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\big(b_{ij}u_j-a_{ij}v_j\big),\end{aligned}$$

and this proves (17).

The proofs of (18), (19) and (20) are similar.

The relation $[\xi,J\xi]=0$ and the Jacobi identity for the Poisson bracket yield

$$\begin{aligned}[[JX_i,\xi],J\xi] &= [[JX_i,J\xi],\xi],\\ [[X_i,\xi],J\xi] &= [[X_i,J\xi],\xi].\end{aligned}$$

Applying $\mathrm{d}^c u$ and using (17),...,(20), after some rearrangement we obtain that for $i=1,\dots,n$

$$\xi(u_i)-J\xi(v_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n}\big[(g_{ij}-d_{ij})u_j+(h_{ij}+c_{ij})v_j\big], \tag{21}$$

$$J\xi(u_i)+\xi(v_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n}\big[(b_{ij}-e_{ij})u_j-(a_{ij}+f_{ij})v_j\big]. \tag{22}$$

Considering the holomorphic coordinate $z:S\cap U$, if $z=x+y$ with x and y real functions we have

$$\begin{aligned}\xi &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x},\\ J\xi &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y},\end{aligned}$$

and hence in such coordinate system the equations (21) and (22) reduce to

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial y} = \sum_{j=1}^{n}\big[(g_{ij}-d_{ij})u_j+(h_{ij}+c_{ij})v_j\big], \tag{23}$$

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x} = \sum_{j=1}^{n}\big[(b_{ij}-e_{ij})u_j-(a_{ij}+f_{ij})v_j\big]. \tag{24}$$

If we set $w_i = u_i + \sqrt{-1}v_i$ we easily obtain that the functions $w_1, \ldots, w_n$ satisfy

$$\frac{\partial w_i}{\partial \bar{z}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \big[A_{ij} w_j + B_{ij} \overline{w}_j\big], \quad i = 1, \ldots, n, \tag{25}$$

where A_{ij} and B_{ij} are complex functions of class C^1. By Theorem 2.2 it follows that the common zeroes of the functions $w_1, \ldots, w_n$, that is $S \cap Z \cap U$ either coincides with $S \cap U$ or is a discrete set in $S \cap U$.

//

The following theorem is an easy consequence of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.3. *Let (ξ, u) be a calibrated foliation of class C^2 on the complex manifold M with contact locus Z.*

Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $V \subset M$ be the set of points $p \in M$ which satisfies $u(p) = c$.

Let $D \subset M$ be the open set which is the union of all the leaf S of (ξ, u) such that $S \cap V \neq \emptyset$.

If $V \subset Z$ then $D \subset Z$.

Proof. Indeed if S is a leaf of (ξ, u) such that $S \cap V \neq \emptyset$ then by hypotesis $S \cap V \subset Z$. Since $S \cap V$ is an integral curve of the vector field ξ it follows that $S \cap V$ is not a discrete subset of S and hence Theorem 2.1 implies that $S \subset Z$.

//

3. A CAUCHY PROBLEM

Let (ξ, u) be a calibrated foliation of class C^k on the complex manifold M. Then it follows from the definitions that if $V = \{u = c\}$ is not empty then V is a real hypersurface of class C^k, and for each $p \in V$ we have $\xi(p) \in T_p(M)$ and $J\xi(p) \notin T_p(M)$. Moreover we have:

Proposition 3.1. *Let (ξ, u) be a calibrated foliation of class C^k, $k \geq 1$ on the complex manifold M. Then the integral curves of ξ are real analytic maps.*

Proof. Let $g_t : M \to M$ the one parameter group of local transformations associated to the vector field ξ. Let $p \in M$. Since $g_{t+s}(p) = g_t\big(g_s(p)\big)$ it suffices to prove that for each $p \in M$ the map $t \mapsto g_t(p)$ is real analytic in a neoghbourhood of $t = 0$.

Let S be the leaf passing througth p and let z be an adapted holorphic coordinate in a neighbourhood of p in S. Then, by construction, $z\big(g_t(p)\big) = t$, and hence the map $t \mapsto g_t(p) \in S$ is real analytic. Since the inclusion $S \subset M$ is holomorphic the assertion easily follows. //

Conversely we have:

Theorem 3.1. *Let M be a complex manifold of complex dimension n. Let $V \subset M$ be a closed real hypersurfaces of class C^k, $k \geq 2$ with holomorphic tangent bundle $HT(V) \subset T(V)$.*

Let ξ_0 be a C^k vector field on V and let $g_t^0 : V \to V$ be the one parameter group of local transformations associated to the vector field ξ_0. Assume that for each $p \in V$ we have $J\xi(p) \notin T_p(M)$ and the map $t \mapsto j\big(g_t^0(p)\big)$ is real analytic.

Then there exists a neighbourhood M_0 of V in M and a calibrated foliation (ξ, u) of class C^k on M_0 such that $u_{|V} = 0$, $\xi_{|V} = \xi_0$ and for each leaf S of (ξ, u) we have $S \cap V \neq \emptyset$

Such a calibrated foliation is locally unique, that is if (ξ_1, u_1) is calibrated foliation on a neighbourhood M_1 of V in M such that $u_1|V = 0$ and $\xi_1|V = \xi_0$ then there exists a neighbourhood M_2 of V in M contained in $M_0 \cap M_1$ such that $\xi = \xi_1$ and $u = u_1$ in M_2.

Moreover (ξ, u) is a contact calibrated foliation on M_0, that is $L_\xi \mathrm{d}^c u$ vanishes identically on M_0 if, and only if, ξ_0 is an infinitesimal symmetry of the distribution $HT(V)$, that is $[\xi_0, HT(V)] \subset HT(V)$.

Proof. Let denote by $j : V \to M$ the inclusion map.

By the hypotheses there exists a map $W \ni (p,z) \mapsto= g_z(p) \in M$, where $W \subset V \times \mathbb{C}$ is an open subset of $V \times \mathbb{C}$ containing $V \times \{0\}$ such that for each $p \in V$ the set $W_p = \big\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid (p,z) \in W\big\}$ is an open connected neighbourhood of 0 in $\mathbb{C}$, the function $W_p \ni z \mapsto g_z(p) \in M$ is holomorphic and if $(p,z) \in W$ with $z = t \in \mathbb{R}$ then $g_t(p) = j\big(g_t^0(p)\big)$. Of course when $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$, denotig by $j_* : T(V) \to T(M)$ the differential of the inclusion map $j : V \to M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} g_t(p)\Big|_{t=0} &= j_*\big(\xi_0(p)\big) \\ \frac{d}{ds} g_{is}(p)\Big|_{s=0} &= J j_*\big(\xi_0(p)\big). \end{aligned}$$

Now set $W_0 = W \cap V \times \mathbb{R}$ and define $\varphi : W_0 M$ putting $\varphi(p,s) = g_{is}(p)$.

Being $\varphi(p,0)) = j(p)$ for each $p \in V$ and $\mathrm{d}\varphi(p,s)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right) = J\xi\left(j(p)\right)$ it follow that after shrinking W if necessary the map $\varphi : W_0 \to M$ is a diffeomorphism between W_0 and an open subset $\varphi(W_0) = M_0$ of M.

Denoting $\pi : W_0 \to \mathbb{R}$, $\pi(p,s) = s$ the canonical projection we set $u = -\pi \circ \varphi^{-1} : M_0 \to \mathbb{R}$. Then u is by construction a function of class C^k with non vanishing differential anywhere on M_0.

Moreover the formula

$$G_t\big(g_{is}(p)\big) = g_{is}\big(g_t(p)\big)$$

defines an one parameter group of local diffeomorphisms of M_0.

Let ξ be the infinitesimal generator of G_t. We shall prove that (ξ, u) is a calibrated foliation with the required properties.

Observe that u is characterized by

$$u\big(g_{is}(p)\big) = -s$$

for each $p \in V$ and for each s small enought. Setting $s = 0$ we see that $u_{|V} = 0$.

We also have

$$G_t\big(j(p)\big) = G_t\big(g_0(p)\big) = g_0\big(g_t(p)\big) = g_t(p) = j\big(g_t^0(p)\big),$$

and hence, for each $p \in V$,

$$\xi\big(j(p)\big) = \frac{d}{dt}G_t\big(j(p)\big)\Big|_{t=0} = \frac{d}{dt}j\big(g_t^0(p)\big)\Big|_{t=0} = j_*(p)\big(\xi_0(p)\big).$$

From

$$u\Big(G_t\big(g_{is}(p)\big)\Big) = u\Big(g_{is}\big(g_t(p)\big)\Big) = -s = u\big(g_{is}(p)\big)$$

we see that the hypersurfaces $\{u = c\}$ are G_t-invariant and hence $\xi(u) = \mathrm{d}u(\xi) = 0$.

We now prove that $\mathrm{d}^c u(\xi) = 1$.

We first show that given $p \in \xi$, for $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, with $|t|, |z|$ small enought

$$g_z\big(g_t(p)\big) = g_{z+t}(p).$$

Indeed, both sides of (26) for p and t fixed are holomorphic functions of z. Since they coincide when $z \in \mathbb{R}$ then they coincide by analytic continuation.

It follows then that

$$u\big(g_z(p)\big) = -\mathsf{Imz}.$$

Indeed, if $z = t + is$ then $s = \mathsf{Imz}$ and

$$u\big(g_z(p)\big) = u\Big(g_{is}\big(g_t(p)\big)\Big) = -s = -\mathsf{Imz}.$$

The formula $H_t\big(g_{is}(p)\big) = g_{i(s-t)}(p)$ defines an one parameter group of local diffeomorphisms of M_0. We now show that the infinitesimal generator of H_t is $-J\xi$. Indeed we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}H_t\big(g_{is}(p)\big)\Big|_{t=0} &= \frac{d}{dt}g_{i(s-t)}(p)\Big|_{t=0} = -J\,\frac{d}{dt}g_{(t+is)}(p)\Big|_{t=0} \\
&= -J\,\frac{d}{dt}g_{is}\big(g_t(p)\big)\Big|_{t=0} = -J\,\frac{d}{dt}G_t\big(g_{is}(p)\big)\Big|_{t=0} \\
&= -J\xi(p).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$u\Big(H_t\big(g_{is}(p)\big)\Big) = u\big(g_{i(s-t)}(p)\big) = t - s,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}^c u(\xi)\big(g_{is}(p)\big) &= -\mathrm{d}u(J\xi)\big(g_{is}(p)\big) \\
&= \frac{d}{dt}u\Big(H_t\big(g_{is}(p)\big)\Big)\Big|_{t=0} = \frac{d(t-s)}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

We end the proof that (ξ, u) is a calibrated foliation showing that $[\xi, J\xi] = 0$.

It suffices to prove that G_t and H_t commute. Indeed we have

$$H_{t_1} \circ G_{t_2}\big(g_{is}(p)\big) = G_{t_2} \circ H_{t_1}\big(g_{is}(p)\big) = g_{i(s-t_1)}\big(g_{t_2}(p)\big),$$

and the proof of the existence of a calibrated foliation is completed.

We now prove the uniqueness of (ξ, u). Let (ξ_1, u_1) an other calibrated foliation on a neighbourhood M_1 of V in M such that $u_1|V = 0$ and $\xi_1|V = \xi_0$.

The leafs of the foliation (ξ, u) and the ones of (ξ_1, u_1) which intersect V both intersect V along the integral curves of ξ_0 and therefore are the same.

Let hence M_2 be the union of all the leafs of the foliation (ξ, u) which intersect V. Then the restriction of the function $w = u - u_1$ to each leaf S is an harmonic function on S which vanishes $S \cap V$. Since $\mathrm{d}^c w(p)(\xi_0) = \mathrm{d}^c u(p)(\xi_0) - \mathrm{d}^c u_1(p)(\xi_0) = 1 - 1 = 0$ for each $p \in V$ it follows that $\mathrm{d}^c w_{|S\cap V} = 0$. By lemma 3.1 below it follows that $w_{|S} = 0$, that is $u_{|S} = u_{1|S}$. Since the leaf $S \subset M_2$ is arbitrary then $u_{|M_2} = u_{1|M_2}$ and also $\xi_{|M_2} = \xi_{1|M_2}$ easily follows.

It remains to prove the last assertion of the Theorem.

Let $\omega = L_\xi \mathrm{d}^c u$. We have to prove that ω vanishes identically on M_0 if, and only if, $[\xi_0, HT(V)] \subset HT(V)$.

By Theorem 2.3 it suffices to prove that $[\xi_0, HT(V)] \subset HT(V)$ if and only if ω vanishes identically on V.

Let $p_0 \in V$. Let U be a neighbourhood of p_0 in M and vector fields $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ such that $\xi, J\xi, X_1, JX_1 \ldots, X_n, JX_n$ is a frame for $T(M)$ on U such that $\xi, X_1, JX_1 \ldots, X_n, JX_n$ is a frame for $\mathsf{Ker}\,\mathrm{d}u$ and $X_1, JX_1 \ldots, X_n, JX_n$ is a frame for $\mathsf{Ker}\,\mathrm{d}u \cap \mathsf{Ker}\,\mathrm{d}^c u$.

As in the proof of Theorem 2.1 we see that that ω vanishes identically on V if, and only if for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ the functions $\mathrm{d}^c u\big([\xi, X_i]\big)$ and $\mathrm{d}^c u\big([\xi, JX_i]\big)$ vanish identically on V, that is, since $T(V) = \mathsf{Ker}\,\mathrm{d}u_{|V}$ and $HT(V) = \mathsf{Ker}\,\mathrm{d}u_{|V} \cap \mathsf{Ker}\,\mathrm{d}^c u_{|V}$, if, and only if for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ we have $[\xi, X_i]_{|V} \in HT(V)$ and $[\xi, JX_i]_{|V} \in HT(V)$.

But we have

$$[\xi, X_i]_{|V} = [\xi_{|V}, X_{i|V}] = [\xi_0, X_{i|V}]$$

and

$$[\xi, JX_i]_{|V} = [\xi_{|V}, JX_{i|V}] = [\xi_0, JX_{i|V}]$$

Being $X_{1|V}, JX_{1|V} \ldots, X_{n|V}, JX_{n|V}$ be a frame for $HT(V)$ it follows that ω vanishes identically on V if, and only if, $[\xi_0, HT(V)] \subset HT(V)$.

The proof of the Theorem is therefore completed.

//

The idea to construct the function u as the imaginary part of the function obtained by complex analytic continuation of the integral curves of a vector field is taken from [5].

Lemma 3.1. *Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a domain such that $D \cap \mathbb{R} \neq \emptyset$ and let $w : D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a harmonic function. If w, $\partial w/\partial x$ and $\partial w/\partial y$ vanish on $D \cap \mathbb{R}$ then w vanishes identically on D.*

Proof. Let $x_0 \in D \cap \mathbb{R}$. Then there exists a convex neighbourhood $U \subset D$ of x_0 and an holomorphic function $f : U \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $w = \mathrm{Re} f$. By the Cauchy-Riemann equations it follows that $f'(x) = 0$ on the interval $U \cap \mathbb{R}$. By the analytic continuation principle for the holomorphic functions it follows that $f'(z) = 0$ on U and hence $f(z)$ is constant on U But then $w = \mathrm{Re} f$ also is constant on U. Since w vanishes on $U \cap \mathbb{R}$ then it vanishes also on U. Since w is real analytic then it must vanish identically on D. //

4. THE COMPLEX MONGE-AMPÈRE EQUATIONS

Let M be a connected complex manifold of complex dimension $n+1$.

Let $u \in C^k(M)$, $k \geq 2$ be a function without critical point. For each constant c let denote by V_c the set of points of M where u assume the value c.

We denote by $H_u \subset T(M)$ the distribution $\mathrm{Ker}\, \mathrm{d}u \cap \mathrm{Ker}\, \mathrm{d}^c u$. Assume that $\mathrm{d}u \wedge \mathrm{d}^c u \wedge (\mathrm{d}\mathrm{d}^c u)^n$ do not vanish on M. Then each hypersuface V_c is a CR contact manifold with $HT(V) = H_{u|V}$ and contact form $\mathrm{d}^c u_{|T(V)}$. We then denote by ξ_u the unique vector field on M (of class at least C^{k-2}) which satisfies $\mathrm{d}u(\xi_u) = 0$, $\mathrm{d}^c u(\xi_u) = 1$ and $\mathrm{d}\mathrm{d}^c u(\xi_u, X) = 0$ for each vector field X which satisfies $\mathrm{d}u(X) = 0$.

In other word ξ_u is the vector field on M which is tangent to each hypersurface V_c and coincides on V_c with the Reeb vector field associated to the contact form $\mathrm{d}^c u_{|T(V)_c}$. Observe that ξ_u can be characterizez by the conditions $\mathrm{d}u(\xi_u) = 0$, $\mathrm{d}^c u(\xi_u) = 1$ and $[\xi_u, H_u] \subset H_u$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let (ξ, u) be a calibrated foliation of class C^2 on the complex manifold M of complex dimension $n+1$ with contact locus Z.*

Then we have the identity

$$\xi \llcorner \mathrm{d}\mathrm{d}^c u = L_\xi \mathrm{d}^c u \tag{26}$$

and the form $(\mathrm{d}\mathrm{d}^c u)^{n+1}$ vanishes on Z.

Proof. Let X be a vector field on M. Since $X\big(\mathrm{d}^c u(\xi)\big) = X(1) = 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}\mathrm{d}^c u(\xi, X) &= \xi\big(\mathrm{d}^c u(X)\big) - X\big(\mathrm{d}^c u(\xi)\big) - \mathrm{d}^c u\big([\xi, X]\big) \\ &= L_\xi \mathrm{d}^c u(X) - X\big(\mathrm{d}^c u(\xi)\big) = L_\xi \mathrm{d}^c u(X). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that if $p \in Z$ then $\xi(p) \neq 0$ belongs to the radical of the bilinear form $(X, Y) \mapsto \mathrm{d}\mathrm{d}^c u(X, JY)$, and hence its rank is strictly less than $n+1$ and this implies that $(\mathrm{d}\mathrm{d}^c u)^{n+1}$ vanishes at p.

//

Theorem 4.1. *Let M be a connected complex manifold of complex dimension $n+1$ Let $V \subset M$ be a closed contact CR hypersurface of class C^k, $k \geq 3$ and let θ be a contact form for $(V, HT(V))$ of class C^{k-1} with associated Reeb vector field ξ_0. Let denote by $j : V \to M$ the inclusion map.*

Assume that the Reeb vector field ξ_0 is of class C^k.

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) *for each integral curve* $\gamma(t)$ *of* ξ_0 *the map* $t \mapsto j\big(\gamma(t)\big)$ *is real analytic;*

(2) *there exists a open neighbourhood* $M_0 \subset M$ *of* V *and a function* $u \in C^k(M_0)$ *which satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} (\mathrm{dd}^c u)^{n+1} = 0 \text{ on } M_0, \\[2ex] \mathrm{d}u \wedge \mathrm{d}^c u \wedge (\mathrm{dd}^c u)^n \neq 0 \text{ on } M_0, \\[2ex] u_{|V} = 0, \\[2ex] \mathrm{d}^c u_{|T(V)} = \theta. \end{cases} \tag{27}$$

Proof. Assume (1). By theorem 3.1 there exists a neighbourhood $M_0 \subset M$ of V and a contact calibrated foliation (ξ, u) on M_0 such that $\xi_{|V} = \xi_0$. We claim that the function u satisfies (27) By lemma 4.1 the function u satisfies the Monge-Ampère equation.

By construction $u_{|V} = 0$ and for each $p \in V$ we have $\mathsf{Ker}\, \mathrm{d}^c u_{|T_p(V)} = \mathsf{Ker}\, \theta(p)$. Since

$$\mathrm{d}^c u_{|T(V)}(\xi_0) = \mathrm{d}^c u(\xi)_V = 1 = \theta(\xi_0),$$

it follows that $\mathrm{d}^c u_{|T(V)} = \theta$.

Finally, since V and a contact calibrated foliation then $\theta \wedge (\mathrm{d}\theta)^n$ does not vanish on V and it is then easy to show that shrinking the neighbpurhood M_0 if necessary, the function u satisfies $\mathrm{d}u \wedge \mathrm{d}^c u \wedge (\mathrm{dd}^c u)^n \neq 0$ in a neighbourhood of V in M.

Conversely assume that u is a solution of class C^k, $k \geq 3$ of (27) in a neighbourhood M_0 of V in M.

Set $\xi = \xi_u$. Then ξ is a C^{k-2} vector field on M which at each point $p \in V$ is tangent to V and on coincides with the Reeb vector field ξ_0.

It suffices then to prove that the integral curves of the vector field ξ are analytic curves in M.

Let p be an arbitrary point of M Let U be a neighbourhood of p in M and let $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ be C^k vector fields such that $X_1, JX_1 \ldots, X_n, JX_n$ is a local frame on U for the distribution $H_| Eq$.

Then $\xi, J\xi, X_1, JX_1 \ldots, X_n, JX_n$ is a local frame on U for the tangent bundle $T(M)$.

By construction ξ is a C^{k-2} vector field which satisfies $\mathrm{d}u(\xi) = 0$, $\mathrm{d}^c u(\xi) = 1$ and $\mathrm{dd}^c u(\xi, X_i) = \mathrm{dd}^c u(\xi, JX_i) = 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Since $(\mathrm{dd}^c u)^{n+1} = 0$ then

$$0 = \det \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{dd}^c u(\xi, J\xi) & \mathrm{dd}^c u(\xi, X_1) & \ldots & \mathrm{dd}^c u(\xi, X_n) \\ \mathrm{dd}^c u(\xi, X_1) & \mathrm{dd}^c u(X_1, JX_1) & \ldots & \mathrm{dd}^c u(X_1, JX_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mathrm{dd}^c u(\xi, JX_n) & \mathrm{dd}^c u(X_1, JX_n) & \ldots & \mathrm{dd}^c u(X_n, JX_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \det \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{dd}^c u(\xi, J\xi) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{dd}^c u(X_1, JX_1) & \dots & \mathrm{dd}^c u(X_1, JX_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \mathrm{dd}^c u(X_1, JX_n) & \dots & \mathrm{dd}^c u(X_n, JX_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \mathrm{dd}^c u(\xi, J\xi) \det \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{dd}^c u(X_1, JX_1) & \dots & \mathrm{dd}^c u(X_1, JX_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \\ \mathrm{dd}^c u(X_1, JX_n) & \dots & \mathrm{dd}^c u(X_n, JX_n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The last determinant does not vanish so

$$\mathrm{dd}^c u(\xi, J\xi) = 0$$

and since clearly $\mathrm{dd}^c u(\xi, \xi) = 0$ we obtain $\xi \llcorner \mathrm{dd}^c u = 0$ on U.

Since the point $p \in M$ is arbitrary it follows that $\xi \llcorner \mathrm{dd}^c u = 0$ on M.

For each vector field X we also have

$$\mathrm{dd}^c u(J\xi, X) = -\mathrm{dd}^c u(\xi, JX) = 0$$

that is $J\xi \llcorner \mathrm{dd}^c u = 0$.

Let us prove that $[\xi, J\xi] = 0$. By Theorem 2.4, pag. 549 of [3] it follows in particular that for each $p \in M$ the the vector subspace of $T_p(M)$ of the vectors $Z \in T_p(M)$ which satisfy $Z \llcorner \mathrm{dd}^c u = 0$ is a J-invarant subspace of real dimension 2.

Hence we have $[\xi, J\xi] = a\xi + bJ\xi$ for some functions a and b.

We prove that the functions a and b vanish on M. By (6) of Lemma 2.1 it follows that $\mathrm{d}u\big([\xi, J\xi]\big) = 0$ and hence

$$0 = \mathrm{d}u\big([\xi, J\xi]\big) = a\mathrm{d}u(\xi) + b\mathrm{d}u(J\xi) = -b.$$

By 5 of Lemma 2.1 it follows that $\mathrm{d}^c u\big([\xi, J\xi]\big) = -\mathrm{dd}^c u(\xi, J\xi)$ and hence

$$0 = \mathrm{d}^c u\big([\xi, J\xi]\big) = a\mathrm{d}^c u(\xi) + b\mathrm{d}^c\mathrm{d}^c u(J\xi) = a.$$

Thus we have proved that (ξ, u) is a calibrated foliation of class at least C^1. The analiticity of the integral curves of ξ follows then from Proposition 3.1. //

Remark 4.1. *When V and θ are real analytic the previous theorem is an immediate consequence of Proposition 1.5 of* [2]. *Proposition 1.1 of* [2] *gives the uniqueness of a C^3 solution of the problem (27).*

REFERENCES

[1] A. Andreotti and G. A. Fredricks. Embeddability of Real Analytic Cauchy-Riemann Manifolds. *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore,* 6:297–301, 1979.

[2] E. Bedford and D. Burns. Holomorphic mapping of annuli in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and the associated extremal function. *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore*, 6:381–414, 1979.

[3] E. Bedford and M. Kalka. Foliations and complex Monge-Ampère equation. *Comm. Pure and Appl. Math.,* 30:543–571, 1977.

[4] D. E. Blair. *Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds*. Birkhauser, 2002.

[5] T. Duchamp and M. Kalka. Singular Monge-Ampère foliations. *Math. Ann.*, 325:187–209, 2003.
[6] R. P. Gilbert and J. L. Buchanan. *First Order Elliptic Systems: A Function Theoretic Approach*. Academic Press, 1983.
[7] Kobayashi and Nomizu. *Foundations of Differential Geometry vol I*. Interscience Publisher, 1963.
[8] Kobayashi and Nomizu. *Foundations of Differential Geometry vol II*. Interscience Publisher, 1969.
[9] A. Kushner, V. Lychagin, and V. Rubtsov. *Contact Geometry and Non-linear Differential Equations*. Cambridge University Press, 2007.

G. TOMASSINI: SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE, PIAZZA DEI CAVALIERI, 7 — I-56126 PISA, ITALY
E-mail address: `g.tomassini@sns.it`

S. VENTURINI: DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA, PIAZZA DI PORTA S. DONATO 5 —I-40127 BOLOGNA, ITALY
E-mail address: `venturin@dm.unibo.it`